Exhibit A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MIDLAND-ODESSA DIVISION

REDSTONE LOGICS LLC,	§	
	§	
- Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§	Case. No. 7:24-cv-00231-ADA
QUALCOMM INC. and QUALCOMM	§	
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	§	
	§	
- Defendants.	Š.	
v	§	
	~	

DEDGEOVE I OCICO I I O

DEFENDANTS QUALCOMM INC.'S AND QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S DISCLOSURE OF EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order dated January 27, 2025 (D.I. 24), Defendants Qualcomm Inc. and Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants" or "Qualcomm") hereby provide the following preliminary claim constructions and identification of extrinsic evidence for the claim terms, phrases, and/or clauses that were previously identified by the parties for constructions. In addition to the extrinsic support identified below, Qualcomm reserves its right to rely on any extrinsic support disclosed in *Redstone Logics LLC v. MediaTek, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 7:24-cv-00029 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024), *Redstone Logics LLC v. NXP USA, Inc.*, Case No. 7:24-cv-00028 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024), and in any related matter. Per the Scheduling Order, Qualcomm is prepared to meet and confer with Plaintiff Redstone Logics LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Redstone") at a mutually agreeable time for the purpose of narrowing the terms in dispute and revising the list of terms and constructions.

Qualcomm's proposed constructions of claim terms, phrases, or clauses and its identification of extrinsic evidence are based on Plaintiff's current Infringement Contentions.

Qualcomm further reserves the right to supplement or amend this disclosure in view of any

modifications Plaintiff is permitted to make to such contentions and as discovery proceeds. The Infringement Contentions are deficient in multiple respects and do not provide Qualcomm with sufficient information to understand the specific accused features and processes and the alleged factual and evidentiary bases for Plaintiff's infringement allegations. Among other things, the Infringement Contentions fail to explain adequately Plaintiff's infringement theories for numerous limitations. Plaintiffs have prejudiced Qualcomm's ability to understand, for purposes of preparing this identification of claim terms, what Plaintiff alleges to be the scope of the asserted claims.

Qualcomm's investigation is ongoing, and Qualcomm, therefore, reserves the right to supplement this disclosure in light of the positions that Plaintiff takes in this litigation. Such positions may require the Court to construe other claim terms, phrases, or clauses in addition to those listed in this disclosure. Qualcomm reserves the right to seek construction of a smaller component of the term, phrase, or clause proposed for claim construction, and the right to seek construction of terms, phrases, or clauses that include the term, phrase, or clause proposed for claim construction, including for context. Qualcomm also reserves the right to supplement or amend this disclosure in light of Plaintiff's forthcoming extrinsic evidence and/or as part of the meet and confer process.

Claim Term, Phrase, or Clause	Patent/ Claims	Proposed Construction	Extrinsic Evidence
first/second set of processor cores [Redstone Term]	339.1, 339.5, 339.8, 339.14, 339.21	first/second group of two or more processor cores	Dr. John Villasenor may provide a declaration in support of Qualcomm's briefing regarding this term, including what a person of ordinary skill in the art would consider to be the plain and ordinary meaning of a "first set of processor cores" and a "second set of processor cores." Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, <i>MediaTek, Inc. et al. v. Redstone Logics LLC</i> , IPR2025-00085, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2025) (Attachment K)
the first clock signal is independent from the second clock signal	339.1, 339.21	Plain and ordinary meaning, where the plain and ordinary meaning requires that the first and second clock signals depend from different reference oscillator clocks.	"System Clock Generators: A Comparison of a PLL Synthesizer vs. a Crystal Oscillator Clock", Stys, C. and Shockman, P. (ON Semiconductor) (Rev. 1 December 2006) (Attachment A)
[Qualcomm Term]			U.S. Patent No. 7,501,865 to Jacobowitz et al. (Attachment B)
		U.S. Patent No. 7,538,625 to Cesky et al. (Attachment C)	
		Declaration of John Villasenor, Ph.D. in Support of NXP's Opening Claim Construction Brief, Dkt. 39-1, <i>Redstone Logics LLC v. NXP USA, Inc.</i> , Case No. 7:24-cv-00028 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024) (Attachment I)	
			Declaration of R. Jacob Baker, Ph.D., P.E., in Support of Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Brief, Dkt. 29-1, Redstone Logics LLC v. MediaTek, Inc., et al.,

Claim Term,	Patent/	Proposed Construction	Extrinsic Evidence
Phrase, or Clause	Claims		
			Case No. 7:24-cv-00029 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024) (Attachment J)
			Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, <i>MediaTek, Inc.</i> et al. v. Redstone Logics LLC, IPR2025-00085, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2025) (Attachment K)
			Dr. John Villasenor may provide a declaration in support of Qualcomm's briefing regarding this term, including what a person of ordinary skill in the art would consider to be the plain and ordinary meaning of "the first clock signal is independent from the second clock signal."
			Additional support from the intrinsic record:
			U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2009/0106576 to Jacobowitz et al. ¹ (Attachment D)
			U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2009/0138737 to Kim et al. ² (Attachment E)

_

¹ This document is intrinsic evidence as it is cited within the prosecution record and on the face of the '339 patent, and need not be disclosed at this time. Regardless, it is included in this listing out of an abundance of caution. Indeed, all references cited on the face of the '339 patent are within the intrinsic record and may be relied upon in Qualcomm's claim construction briefing without separate disclosure herein.

² This document is intrinsic evidence as it is cited within the prosecution record and on the face of the '339 patent, and need not be disclosed at this time. Regardless, it is included in this listing out of an abundance of caution. Indeed, all references cited on the face of the '339 patent are within the intrinsic record and may be relied upon in Qualcomm's claim construction briefing without separate disclosure herein.

Claim Term, Phrase, or Clause	Patent/ Claims	Proposed Construction	Extrinsic Evidence
1 . 1 .		T 1 (* ')	TTI A COLUMN COLUMN COLUMN CATA DA CAT
located in a periphery of the	339.5	Indefinite	The American Heritage College Dictionary (4 th Ed. 2007) (Attachment F)
multi-core processor [Qualcomm Term]			Merriam Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary (1990) (Attachment G)
			Declaration of John Villasenor, Ph.D. in Support of NXP's Opening Claim Construction Brief, Dkt. 39-1, <i>Redstone Logics LLC v. NXP USA, Inc.</i> , Case No. 7:24-cv-00028 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024) (Attachment I)
			Declaration of R. Jacob Baker, Ph.D., P.E., in Support of Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Brief, Dkt. 29-1, <i>Redstone Logics LLC v. MediaTek, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 7:24-cv-00029 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024) (Attachment J)
			Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, <i>MediaTek, Inc.</i> et al. v. Redstone Logics LLC, IPR2025-00085, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2025) (Attachment K)
			Dr. John Villasenor may provide a declaration in support of Qualcomm's briefing regarding this term, including that the phrase "located in a periphery" fails to inform one skilled in the art about the scope of the claimed invention with reasonable certainty.
located in a common region that is substantially central	339.14	Indefinite	The American Heritage College Dictionary (4 th Ed. 2007) (Attachment F)

Claim Term, Phrase, or Clause	Patent/ Claims	Proposed Construction	Extrinsic Evidence
to the first set of processor cores and			Merriam Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary (1990) (Attachment G)
the second set of processor cores			Webster's Third New International Dictionary (1993) (Attachment H)
[Qualcomm Term]			Declaration of John Villasenor, Ph.D. in Support of NXP's Opening Claim Construction Brief, Dkt. 39-1, <i>Redstone Logics LLC v. NXP USA, Inc.</i> , Case No. 7:24-cv-00028 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024) (Attachment I)
			Declaration of R. Jacob Baker, Ph.D., P.E., in Support of Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Brief, Dkt. 29-1, <i>Redstone Logics LLC v. MediaTek, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 7:24-cv-00029 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2024) (Attachment J)
			Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, <i>MediaTek, Inc.</i> et al. v. Redstone Logics LLC, IPR2025-00085, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2025) (Attachment K)
			Dr. John Villasenor may provide a declaration in support of Qualcomm's briefing regarding this term, including that the phrases "located in a common region" and "region that is substantially central" fail to inform one skilled in the art about the scope of the claimed invention with reasonable certainty.

Dated: March 21, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

By: Eric C. Green

Richard S. Zembek (SBN 00797726) richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com Daniel S. Leventhal (SBN 24050923) daniel.leventhal@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 1550 Lamar Street, Suite 2000 Houston, Texas 77010

Tel: (713) 651-5151 Fax: (713) 651-5246

Eric C. Green (SBN 24069824) eric.green@nortonrosefulbright.com Brett A. McKean (SBN 24057994) brett.mckean@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 1100 Austin, Texas 78701

Tel: (512) 474-5201 Fax: (512) 536-4598

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS QUALCOMM INC. AND QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was served on counsel of record on March 21, 2025 by e-mail.

By: /s/ Brett A. McKean
Brett A. McKean